arXiv:1404.4999v3 [math.NT] 5 Feb 2016

Real multiplication revisited

Igor V. Nikolaev *

Abstract

It is proved that the Hilbert class field of a real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ modulo a power m of the conductor f is generated by the Fourier coefficients of the Hecke eigenform for a congruence subgroup of level fD.

Key words and phrases: class field, real multiplication

MSC: 11G45 (class field theory); 46L85 (noncommutative topology)

1 Introduction

The Kronecker's *Jugendtraum* is a conjecture that the maximal unramified abelian extension (the Hilbert class field) of any algebraic number field is generated by the special values of modular functions attached to an abelian variety. The conjecture is true for the rational field and imaginary quadratic fields with the modular functions being an exponent and the j-invariant, respectively. In the case of an arbitrary number field, a description of the abelian extensions is given by class field theory, but an explicit formula for the generators of these abelian extensions, in the sense sought by Kronecker, is unknown even for the real quadratic fields.

The problem was first studied by [Hecke 1910] [8]. A description of abelian extensions of real quadratic number fields in terms of coordinates of points of finite order on abelian varieties associated with certain modular curves was obtained in [Shimura 1972] [16]. Stark formulated a number of conjectures on

*Partially supported by NSERC.

abelian extension of arbitrary number fields, which in the real quadratic case amount to specifying generators of these extensions using special values of Artin L-functions, see [Stark 1976] [19]. Based on an analogy with complex multiplication, Manin suggested to use the so-called "pseudo-lattices" $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\theta$ in $\mathbb{R}$ having non-trivial real multiplications to produce abelian extensions of real quadratic fields, see [Manin 2004] [10]. Similar to the case of complex multiplication, the endomorphism ring $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}O_{\mathfrak{k}}$ of pseudo-lattice $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\theta$ is an order in the real quadratic field $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $O_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is the ring of integers of $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{f}$ is the conductor of $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}}$; Manin calls these pseudo-lattices with *real multiplication*.

The aim of our note is a formula for generators of the Hilbert class field of real quadratic fields based on a modularity and a symmetry of complex and real multiplication. To give an idea, let

$$\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a \equiv d \equiv 1 \ \mathbf{mod}\ N,\ c \equiv 0 \ \mathbf{mod}\ N \right\} \qquad (1)$$

be a congruence subgroup of level $N \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{H}$ be the Lobachevsky half-plane; let $X_1(N) := \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_1(N)$ be the corresponding modular curve and $S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ the space of all cusp forms on $\Gamma_1(N)$ of weight 2. Let $\mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-D,f)}$ be elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an order $R_f = \mathbb{Z} + fO_k$ in the field $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$, see [Silverman 1994] [17], Chapter II. Denote by $\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k) := k(j(\mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-D,f)}))$ the Hilbert class field of k modulo conductor $f \geq 1$ and let $N = fD$; let $Jac\ (X_1(fD))$ be the Jacobian of modular curve $X_1(fD)$. There exists an abelian sub-variety $A_\phi \subset Jac\ (X_1(fD))$, such that its points of finite order generate $\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)$, see [Hecke 1928] [9], [Shimura 1971] [15], Theorem 1 and [Shimura 1972] [16], Section 8. The $\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)$ is a *CM-field*, i.e. a totally imaginary quadratic extension of the totally real field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$ generated by the Fourier coefficients of the Hecke eigenform $\phi(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_1(fD))$, see [Shimura 1972] [16], p. 137. In particular, there exists a holomorphic map $X_1^0(fD) \to \mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-D,f)}$, where $X_1^0(fD)$ is a Riemann surface such that $Jac\ (X_1^0(fD)) \cong A_\phi$; we refer to the above as a *modularity* of complex multiplication.

Recall that (twisted homogeneous) coordinate ring of an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to a *Sklyanin algebra*, see e.g. [Stafford & van den Bergh 2001] [18], Example 8.5; the norm-closure of a self-adjoint representation of the Sklyanin algebra by the linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to a noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}_\theta$, see [Rieffel 1990] [14] for the definition.

Whenever elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-D,f)}$ has complex multiplication, the noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ has real multiplication by an order $\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}O_\mathfrak{k}$ in the field $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$; moreover, it is known that $\mathfrak{f} = f^m$ for the minimal power m satisfying an isomorphism:

$$Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_{f^m}) \cong Cl\ (R_f), \tag{2}$$

where $Cl\ (R_f)$ and $Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f})$ are the ideal class groups of orders R_f and $\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f}$, respectively. We shall refer to (2) as a *symmetry* of complex and real multiplication. The noncommutative torus with real multiplication by $\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{(D,\mathfrak{f})}$.

Remark 1 The isomorphism (2) can be calculated using the well-known formula for the class number of a non-maximal order $\mathbb{Z} + fO_K$ of a quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$:

$$h_{\mathbb{Z}+fO_K} = \frac{h_{O_K} f}{e_f} \prod_{p|f} \left(1 - \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) \frac{1}{p}\right), \tag{3}$$

where h_{O_K} is the class number of the maximal order O_K, e_f is the index of the group of units of $\mathbb{Z} + fO_K$ in the group of units of O_K, p is a prime number and $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol, see e.g. [Borevich & Shafarevich 1966] [3], p.153 and [Hasse 1950] [7], pp. 297 and 351.

The (twisted homogeneous) coordinate ring of the Riemann surface $X_1^0(fD)$ is an *AF-algebra* $\mathbb{A}_{\phi^0}$ linked to a holomorphic differential $\phi^0(z)dz$ on $X_1^0(fD)$, see Section 2.2, Definition 1 and Remark 5 for the details; the Grothendieck semigroup $K_0^+(\mathbb{A}_{\phi^0})$ is a pseudo-lattice $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{Z}\theta_{n-1}$ in the number field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$, where n equals the genus of $X_1^0(fD)$. Moreover, a holomorphic map $X_1^0(fD) \to \mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-D,f)}$ induces the C^*-algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{A}_{\phi^0} \to \mathcal{A}_{RM}^{(D,\mathfrak{f})}$ between the corresponding coordinate rings, so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1^0(fD) & \xrightarrow[\text{map}]{\text{coordinate}} & \mathbb{A}_{\phi^0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-D,f)} & \xrightarrow[\text{map}]{\text{coordinate}} & \mathcal{A}_{RM}^{(D,\mathfrak{f})} \end{array}$$

But $K_0^+(\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{(D,\mathfrak{f})})$ is a pseudo-lattice $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\theta$ in the field $\mathfrak{k}$, such that $End\ (\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\theta) \cong \mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}}$; in other words, one can use the above diagram to control the arithmetic of the field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$ by such of the real quadratic field $\mathfrak{k}$. Roughly speaking, this observation solves the Kronecker's Jugendtraum for the real quadratic fields; namely, the following is true.

Theorem 1 *The Hilbert class field of a real quadratic field $\mathfrak{k}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ modulo conductor f^m is an extension of $\mathfrak{k}$ by the Fourier coefficients of the Hecke eigenform $\phi(z)\in S_2(\Gamma_1(fD))$, where m is the smallest positive integer satisfying isomorphism (2).*

Remark 2 Theorem 1 can be used to compute concrete extensions. For instance, theorem 1 says that for the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{15})$ its Hilbert class field is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-1+\sqrt{15}}\right)$ and for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{14})$ such a field modulo conductor $\mathfrak{f}=8$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt[4]{-27+8\sqrt{14}}\right)$, see Section 4 for more examples.

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 covers basic facts on real multiplication and AF-algebras of the Hecke eigenforms. Theorem 1 is proved in Section 3. Section 4 contains numerical examples illustrating theorem 1.

2 Preliminaries

The reader can find basics of the C^*-algebras in [Murphy 1990] [11] and their K-theory in [Blackadar 1986] [1]. The noncommutative tori are covered in [Rieffel 1990] [14] and real multiplication in [Manin 2004] [10]. For main ideas of non-commutative algebraic geometry, see the survey by [Stafford & van den Bergh 2001] [18]. The AF-algebras are reviewed in [Effros 1981] [6]. For a general theory of modular forms we refer the reader to [Diamond & Shurman 2005] [5].

2.1 Real multiplication

The noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is a universal *C^*-algebra* generated by the unitary operators u and v acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and satisfying the commutation relation $vu=e^{2\pi i\theta}uv$, where θ is a real number. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is said to be stably isomorphic (Morita equivalent) to $\mathcal{A}_{\theta'}$, whenever

$\mathcal{A}_\theta \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{A}_{\theta'} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the C^*-algebra of all compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$; the $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is stably isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{\theta'}$ if and only if

$$\theta' = \frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d} \quad \text{for some matrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}). \tag{4}$$

The K-theory of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is two-periodic and $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta) \cong K_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ so that the Grothendieck semigroup $K_0^+(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$ corresponds to positive reals of the pseudo-lattice $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\theta \subset \mathbb{R}$. The $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is said to have *real multiplication*, if θ is a quadratic irrationality, i.e. irrational root of a quadratic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. The real multiplication says that the endomorphism ring of pseudo-lattice $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta$ exceeds the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to multiplication by m endomorphisms; similar to complex multiplication, it means that the endomorphism ring is isomorphic to an order $\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}O_\mathfrak{k}$ of conductor $\mathfrak{f} \geq 1$ in the real quadratic field $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, hence the name. If $D > 0$ is the discriminant of $\mathfrak{k}$, then by $\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{(D,\mathfrak{f})}$ we denote torus $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ with real multiplication by the order $\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f}$.

The Sklyanin algebra $S_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathbb{C})$ is a free $\mathbb{C}$-algebra on four generators and six relations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} x_1x_2 - x_2x_1 &=& \alpha(x_3x_4 + x_4x_3), \\ x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 &=& x_3x_4 - x_4x_3, \\ x_1x_3 - x_3x_1 &=& \beta(x_4x_2 + x_2x_4), \\ x_1x_3 + x_3x_1 &=& x_4x_2 - x_2x_4, \\ x_1x_4 - x_4x_1 &=& \gamma(x_2x_3 + x_3x_2), \\ x_1x_4 + x_4x_1 &=& x_2x_3 - x_3x_2, \end{array} \right. \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \alpha\beta\gamma = 0$; such an algebra corresponds to a *twisted homogeneous coordinate ring* of an elliptic curve in the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^3$ given by the intersection of two quadric surfaces of the form $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathbb{C}) = \{(u,v,w,z) \in \mathbb{C}P^3 \mid u^2+v^2+w^2+z^2 = \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\beta}v^2 + \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\gamma}w^2 + z^2 = 0\}$. Being such a ring means that the algebra $S_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ satisfies an isomorphism

$$\textbf{Mod}\ (S_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathbb{C}))/\textbf{Tors} \cong \textbf{Coh}\ (\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathbb{C})), \tag{6}$$

where **Coh** is the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathbb{C})$, **Mod** the category of graded left modules over the graded ring $S_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathbb{C})$ and **Tors** the full sub-category of **Mod** consisting of the torsion modules, see [Stafford & van den Bergh 2001] [18], Example 8.5.

If one sets $x_1 = u, x_2 = u^*, x_3 = v, x_4 = v^*$, then there exists a self-adjoint representation of the Sklyanin $*$-algebra $S_{\alpha,1,-1}(\mathbb{C})$ by linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, such that its norm-closure is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_\theta$; namely,

$\mathcal{A}_\theta^0 \cong S_{\alpha,1,-1}(\mathbb{C})/I_\mu$, where $\mathcal{A}_\theta^0$ is a dense sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ and I_μ is an ideal generated by the "scaled unit" relations $x_1 x_3 = x_3 x_4 = \frac{1}{\mu} e$, where $\mu > 0$ is a constant. Thus the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is a coordinate ring of elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C})$, such that isomorphic elliptic curves correspond to the stably isomorphic (Morita equivalent) noncommutative tori; this fact explains the modular transformation law in (4). In particular, if $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C})$ has complex multiplication by an order $R_f = \mathbb{Z} + fO_k$ in a quadratic field $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$, then $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ has real multiplication by an order $\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathfrak{f}O_\mathfrak{k}$ in the quadratic field $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, where $\mathfrak{f}$ is the smallest integer satisfying an isomorphism $Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f}) \cong Cl\ (R_f)$, see [13]; the isomorphism is a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{(D,\mathfrak{f})}$ to discern non-isomorphic elliptic curves $\mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-D,f)}$ having the same endomorphism ring R_f. For the constraint $\mathfrak{f} = f^m$, see remark 6.

2.2 AF-algebra of the Hecke eigenform

An *AF-algebra* (Approximately Finite C^*-algebra) is defined to be the norm closure of an ascending sequence of finite dimensional C^*-algebras M_n, where M_n is the C^*-algebra of the $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{C}$. Here the index $n = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ represents the semi-simple matrix algebra $M_n = M_{n_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{n_k}$. The ascending sequence mentioned above can be written as $M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \ldots$, where M_i are the finite dimensional C^*-algebras and φ_i the homomorphisms between such algebras. The homomorphisms φ_i can be arranged into a graph as follows. Let $M_i = M_{i_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{i_k}$ and $M_{i'} = M_{i'_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{i'_k}$ be the semi-simple C^*-algebras and $\varphi_i : M_i \to M_{i'}$ the homomorphism. One has two sets of vertices $V_{i_1}, \ldots, V_{i_k}$ and $V_{i'_1}, \ldots, V_{i'_k}$ joined by b_{rs} edges whenever the summand M_{i_r} contains b_{rs} copies of the summand $M_{i'_s}$ under the embedding φ_i. As i varies, one obtains an infinite graph called the *Bratteli diagram* of the AF-algebra. The matrix $B = (b_{rs})$ is known as a *partial multiplicity matrix*; an infinite sequence of B_i defines a unique AF-algebra. An AF-algebra is called *stationary* if $B_i = Const = B$, see [Effros 1981] [6], Chapter 6; when two non-similar matrices B and B' have the same characteristic polynomial, the corresponding stationary AF-algebras will be called *companion AF-algebras*.

Let $N \geq 1$ be a natural number and consider a (finite index) subgroup of the modular group given by the formula:

$$\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a \equiv d \equiv 1 \ \mathbf{mod}\ N,\ c \equiv 0 \ \mathbf{mod}\ N \right\}. \quad (7)$$

Let $\mathbb{H} = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \mid y > 0\}$ be the upper half-plane and let $\Gamma_1(N)$ act on $\mathbb{H}$ by the linear fractional transformations; consider an orbifold $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_1(N)$. To compactify the orbifold at the cusps, one adds a boundary to $\mathbb{H}$, so that $\mathbb{H}^* = \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ and the compact Riemann surface $X_1(N) = \mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma_1(N)$ is called a *modular curve*. The meromorphic functions $\phi(z)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ that vanish at the cusps and such that

$$\phi\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^2\phi(z), \qquad \forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N), \tag{8}$$

are called *cusp forms* of weight two; the (complex linear) space of such forms will be denoted by $S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$. The formula $\phi(z) \mapsto \omega = \phi(z)dz$ defines an isomorphism $S_2(\Gamma_1(N)) \cong \Omega_{hol}(X_1(N))$, where $\Omega_{hol}(X_1(N))$ is the space of all holomorphic differentials on the Riemann surface $X_1(N)$. Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(S_2(\Gamma_1(N)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_{hol}(X_1(N)) = g$, where $g = g(N)$ is the genus of the surface $X_1(N)$. A *Hecke operator*, T_n, acts on $S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ by the formula $T_n\phi = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \gamma(m)q^m$, where $\gamma(m) = \sum_{a|\mathbf{GCD}(m,n)} ac_{mn/a^2}$ and $\phi(z) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} c(m)q^m$ is the Fourier series of the cusp form ϕ at $q = e^{2\pi iz}$. Further, T_n is a self-adjoint linear operator on the vector space $S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ endowed with the Petersson inner product; the algebra $\mathbb{T}_N := \mathbb{Z}[T_1, T_2, \ldots]$ is a commutative algebra. Any cusp form $\phi \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ that is an eigenvector for one (and hence all) of T_n, is referred to as a *Hecke eigenform*. The Fourier coefficients $c(m)$ of ϕ are algebraic integers, and we denote by $\mathcal{K}_\phi = \mathbb{Q}(c(m))$ an extension of the field $\mathbb{Q}$ by the Fourier coefficients of ϕ. Then $\mathcal{K}_\phi$ is a real algebraic number field of degree $1 \leq \deg\ (\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q}) \leq g$, where g is the genus of the surface $X_1(N)$, see e.g. [Diamond & Shurman 2005] [5], Proposition 6.6.4. Any embedding $\sigma : \mathcal{K}_\phi \to \mathbb{C}$ conjugates ϕ by acting on its coefficients; we write the corresponding Hecke eigenform $\phi^\sigma(z) := \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \sigma(c(m))q^m$ and call ϕ^σ a *conjugate* of the Hecke eigenform ϕ.

Let $\omega = \phi(z)dz \in \Omega_{hol}(X)$ be a holomorphic differential on a Riemann surface X. We shall denote by $\Re\ (\omega)$ a closed form on X (the real part of ω) and consider its periods $\lambda_i = \int_{\gamma_i} \Re\ (\omega)$ against a basis γ_i in the (relative) homology group $H_1(X, Z(\Re\ (\omega)); \mathbb{Z})$, where $Z(\Re\ (\omega))$ is the set of zeros of the form $\Re\ (\omega)$. Assume $\lambda_i > 0$ and consider the vector $\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{n-1})$ with $\theta_i = \lambda_{i+1}/\lambda_1$. The *Jacobi-Perron continued fraction* of θ is given by the formula:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{i\to\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{i\to\infty} B_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

where $b_i = (b_1^{(i)}, \ldots, b_{n-1}^{(i)})^T$ is a vector of non-negative integers, I is the unit matrix and $\mathbb{I} = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)^T$, see e.g. [Bernstein 1971] [2]. By $\mathbb{A}_\phi$ we shall understand the AF-algebra given the Bratteli diagram with partial multiplicity matrices B_i. If $\phi(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ is a Hecke eigenform, then the corresponding AF-algebra $\mathbb{A}_\phi$ is *stationary* with the partial multiplicity matrices $B_i = Const = B$; moreover, each conjugate eigenform ϕ^σ defines a *companion* AF-algebra $\mathbb{A}_{\phi^\sigma}$. It is known that $K_0^+(\mathbb{A}_\phi) \cong \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{Z}\theta_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{K}_\phi$, where $\mathcal{K}_\phi$ is an algebraic number field generated by the Fourier coefficients of ϕ, see [12].

3 Proof of theorem 1

Definition 1 *Let $A_\phi \subset Jac\ (X_1(fD))$ be an abelian variety associated to the Hecke eigenform $\phi(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_1(fD))$, see e.g. [Diamond & Shurman 2005] [5], Definition 6.6.3. By $X_1^0(fD)$ we shall understand the Riemann surface of genus g, such that*

$$Jac\ (X_1^0(fD)) \cong A_\phi. \tag{10}$$

By $\phi^0(z)dz \in \Omega_{hol}(X_1^0(fD))$ we denote the image of the Hecke eigenform $\phi(z)dz \in \Omega_{hol}(X_1(fD))$ under the holomorphic map $X_1(fD) \to X_1^0(fD)$.

Remark 3 The surface $X_1^0(fD)$ is correctly defined. Indeed, since the abelian variety A_ϕ is the product of g copies of an elliptic curve with the complex multiplication, there exists a holomorphic map from A_ϕ to the elliptic curve. For a Riemann surface X of genus g covering the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_{CM}$ by a holomorphic map (such a surface and a map always exist), one gets a period map $X \to A_\phi$ by closing the arrows of a commutative diagram $A_\phi \to \mathcal{E}_{CM} \leftarrow X$. It is easy to see, that the Jacobian of X coincides with A_ϕ and we set $X_1^0(fD) := X$.

Lemma 1 $g(X_1^0(fD)) = \deg\ (\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k) \mid k)$.

Proof. By definition, abelian variety A_ϕ is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^n$ by a lattice of periods of the Hecke eigenform $\phi(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_1(fD))$ and all its conjugates $\phi^\sigma(z)$ on the Riemann surface $X_1(fD)$. These periods are complex algebraic numbers generating the Hilbert class field $\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)$ over imaginary quadratic field $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ modulo conductor f, see [Hecke 1928] [9], [Shimura 1971] [15] and [Shimura 1972] [16], Section 8. The number of linearly independent

periods is equal to the total number of the conjugate eigenforms $\phi^{\sigma}(z)$, i.e. $|\sigma| = n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(A_{\phi})$. Since real dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(A_{\phi}) = 2n$, we conclude that deg $(\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)|\mathbb{Q}) = 2n$ and, therefore, deg $(\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)|k) = n$. But $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(A_{\phi}) = g(X_1^0(fD))$ and one gets $g(X_1^0(fD)) =$ deg $(\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)|k)$. Lemma 1 follows. $\square$

Corollary 1 $g(X_1^0(fD)) = |Cl\ (R_f)|$.

Proof. Because $\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)$ is the Hilbert class field over k modulo conductor f, we must have

$$Gal\ (\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)|k) \cong Cl\ (R_f), \tag{11}$$

where Gal is the Galois group of the extension $\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)|k$ and $Cl\ (R_f)$ is the class group of ring R_f, see e.g. [Silverman 1994] [17], p.112. But $|Gal\ (\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)|k)| =$ deg $(\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)|k)$ and by lemma 1 we have deg $(\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)|k) = g(X_1^0(fD))$. In view of this and isomorphism (11), one gets $|Cl\ (R_f)| = |Gal\ (\mathcal{K}^{ab}|k)| = g(X_1^0(fD))$. Corollary 1 follows. $\square$

Lemma 2 $g(X_1^0(fD)) =$ deg $(\mathcal{K}_{\phi} \mid \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. It is known that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(A_{\phi}) =$ deg $(\mathcal{K}_{\phi} \mid \mathbb{Q})$, see e.g. [Diamond & Shurman 2005] [5], Proposition 6.6.4. But abelian variety $A_{\phi} \cong Jac\ (X_1^0(fD))$ and, therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(A_{\phi}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(Jac\ (X_1^0(fD))) = g(X_1^0(fD))$, hence the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 2 deg $(\mathcal{K}_{\phi} \mid \mathbb{Q}) = |Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}})|$.

Proof. From lemma 2 and corollary 1 one gets deg $(\mathcal{K}_{\phi}|\mathbb{Q}) = |Cl\ (R_f)|$. In view of this and equality (2), one gets the conclusion of corollary 2. $\square$

Lemma 3 (Basic lemma) $Gal\ (\mathcal{K}_{\phi} \mid \mathbb{Q}) \cong Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}})$.

Proof. Let us outline the proof. In view of lemma 2 and corollaries 1-2, we denote by h the single integer $g(X_1^0(fD)) = |Cl\ (R_f)| = |Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}})| =$ deg $(\mathcal{K}_{\phi}|\mathbb{Q})$. Since deg $(\mathcal{K}_{\phi}|\mathbb{Q}) = h$, there exist $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_h\}$ conjugate Hecke eigenforms $\phi_i(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_1(fD))$, see e.g. [Diamond & Shurman 2005] [5], Theorem 6.5.4; thus one gets h holomorphic forms $\{\phi_1^0, \ldots, \phi_h^0\}$ on the Riemann surface $X_1^0(fD)$. Let $\{\mathbb{A}_{\phi_1^0}, \ldots, \mathbb{A}_{\phi_h^0}\}$ be the corresponding stationary AF-algebras; the $\mathbb{A}_{\phi_i^0}$ are *companion* AF-algebras, see Section 1.2. Recall that the characteristic polynomial for the partial multiplicity matrices $B_{\phi_i^0}$ of companion AF-algebras $\mathbb{A}_{\phi_i^0}$ is the same; it is a minimal polynomial of degree h and

let $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_h\}$ be the roots of such a polynomial, compare with [Effros 1981] [6], Corollary 6.3. Since det $(B_{\phi_i^0}) = 1$, the numbers λ_i are algebraic units of the field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$. Moreover, λ_i are algebraically conjugate and can be taken for generators of the extension $\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q}$; since deg $(\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q}) = h = |Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f})|$ there exists a natural action of group $Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f})$ on these generators. The action extends to automorphisms of the entire field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$ preserving $\mathbb{Q}$; thus one gets the Galois group of extension $\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q}$ and an isomorphism $Gal\ (\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q}) \cong Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f})$. Let us pass to a step-by-step argument.

(i) Let $h := g(X_1^0(fD)) = |Cl\ (R_f)| = |Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f})|$ and let $\phi(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_1(fD))$ be the Hecke eigenform. It is known that there exists $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_h\}$ conjugate Hecke eigenforms, so that $\phi(z)$ is one of them, see [Diamond & Shurman 2005] [5], Theorem 6.5.4. Let $\{\phi_1^0, \ldots, \phi_h^0\}$ be the corresponding forms on the Riemann surface $X_1^0(fD)$.

Remark 4 The forms $\{\phi_1^0, \ldots, \phi_h^0\}$ can be taken for a basis in the space $\Omega_{hol}(X_1^0(fD))$; we leave it to the reader to verify, that abelian variety A_ϕ is isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^h$ by the lattice of periods of holomorphic differentials $\phi_i^0(z)dz$ on $X_1^0(fD)$.

(ii) Let $\mathbb{A}_{\phi_i^0}$ be the AF-algebra corresponding to holomorphic differential $\phi_i^0(z)dz$ on $X_1^0(fD)$, see Section 2.2; the set $\{\mathbb{A}_{\phi_1^0}, \ldots, \mathbb{A}_{\phi_h^0}\}$ consists of the companion AF-algebras. It is known that each $\mathbb{A}_{\phi_i^0}$ is a stationary AF-algebra, i.e. its partial multiplicity matrix is a constant; we shall denote such a matrix by $B_{\phi_i^0}$.

(iii) By definition, the matrices $B_{\phi_i^0}$ of companion AF-algebras $\mathbb{A}_{\phi_i}$ have the same characteristic polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$; the matrices $B_{\phi_i^0}$ itself are not pairwise similar and, therefore, the AF-algebras $\mathbb{A}_{\phi_i^0}$ are not pairwise isomorphic. The total number h of such matrices is equal to the class number of the endomorphism ring of pseudo-lattice $K_0^+(\mathbb{A}_{\phi_i^0}) \cong \mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\theta_1^i+\ldots+\mathbb{Z}\theta_{h-1}^i \subset \mathcal{K}_\phi$, see [Effros 1981] [6], Corollary 6.3.

Remark 5 Notice that there are $\{X_1, \ldots, X_h\}$ pairwise non-isomorphic Riemann surfaces $X := X_1^0(fD)$ endowed with a holomorphic map $X_i \to \mathcal{E}_i$, where $\{\mathcal{E}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_h\}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic elliptic curves $\mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-D,f)}$ corresponding to elements of the group $Cl\ (R_f)$. Thus the companion AF-algebras $\{\mathbb{A}_{\phi_1^0}, \ldots, \mathbb{A}_{\phi_h^0}\}$ can be viewed as coordinate rings of $\{X_1, \ldots, X_h\}$;

the latter means that $\mathbb{A}_{\phi_i^0}$ discern non-isomorphic Riemann surfaces and $K_0^+(\mathbb{A}_{\phi_i^0}) \cong \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta_1^i + \ldots + \mathbb{Z}\theta_{h-1}^i$ represents the moduli space of $X_1^0(fD)$.

(iv) The polynomial $p(x)$ is minimal and splits in the totally real field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$. Indeed, matrices $B_{\phi_i^0}$ generate the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_N$ on $S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$; thus each $B_{\phi_i^0}$ is self-adjoint and, therefore, all eigenvalues are real of multiplicity one; since $B_{\phi_i^0}$ is integer, all roots of characteristic polynomial $p(x)$ of $B_{\phi_i^0}$ belong to the field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$.

(v) Let $p(x) = (x - \lambda_1) \ldots (x - \lambda_h)$. It is easy to see that λ_i are algebraic units of the field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$ because $\det\ (B_{\phi_i^0}) = 1$; note that numbers $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_h\}$ are algebraically conjugate. Since $\deg\ (\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q}) = h$, the numbers λ_i can be taken for generators of the field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$, i.e. $\mathcal{K}_\phi = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_h)$.

(vi) Finally, let us establish an explicit formula for the isomorphism

$$Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f}) \to Gal\ (\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q}) \tag{12}$$

Since $Gal\ (\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q})$ is an automorphism group of the field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$ preserving $\mathbb{Q}$, it will suffice to define the action $*$ of an element $a \in Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f})$ on the generators λ_i of $\mathcal{K}_\phi$. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_h\}$ be the set of all elements of the group $Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f})$. For an element $a \in Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f})$ define an index function α by the formula $a_i a = a_{\alpha(i)}$. Then the action $*$ of an element $a \in Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f})$ on the generators λ_i of the field $\mathcal{K}_\phi$ is given by the formula:

$$a * \lambda_i := \lambda_{a(i)}, \qquad \forall a \in Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f}). \tag{13}$$

It is easy to verify that formula (13) gives an isomorphism $Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{f}) \to Gal\ (\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q})$, which is independ of the choice of $\{a_i\}$ and $\{\lambda_i\}$. This argument completes the proof of lemma 3. $\square$

Remark 6 The class field theory says that $\mathfrak{f} = f^m$, i.e. the extensions of fields k and $\mathfrak{k}$ must ramify over the same set of prime ideals. Indeed, consider the commutative diagram below, where I_f and $I_\mathfrak{f}$ are groups of all ideals of k and $\mathfrak{k}$, which are relatively prime to the principal ideals (f) and $(\mathfrak{f})$, respectively. Since $Gal\ (\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)|\mathbb{Q}) \cong Gal\ (\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q})$, one gets an isomorphism $I_f \cong I_\mathfrak{f}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{f} = f^m$ for some positive integer m.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_f & \xrightarrow[\text{homomorphism}]{\text{Artin}} & Gal\ (\mathcal{K}^{ab}(k)|\mathbb{Q}) \\ \Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow \\ I_{\mathfrak{f}} & \xrightarrow[\text{homomorphism}]{\text{Artin}} & Gal\ (\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

Corollary 3 *The Hilbert class field of real quadratic field* $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ *modulo conductor* $\mathfrak{f} \geq 1$ *is isomorphic to the field* $\mathfrak{k}(\mathcal{K}_\phi)$ *generated by the Fourier coefficients of the Hecke eigenform* $\phi(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_1(fD))$.

Proof. As in the classical case of imaginary quadratic fields, notice that $\deg\ (\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q}) = \deg\ (\mathfrak{k}(\mathcal{K}_\phi)|\mathfrak{k}) = Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}})$; therefore corollary 3 is an implication of lemma 3 and isomorphism $Gal\ (\mathcal{K}_\phi|\mathbb{Q}) \cong Gal\ (\mathfrak{k}(\mathcal{K}_\phi)|\mathfrak{k}) \cong Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}})$. □

Theorem 1 follows from corollary 3. □

4 Examples

Along with the method of Stark's units [Cohen & Roblot 2000] [4], theorem 1 can be used in the computational number theory. For the sake of clarity, we shall consider the simplest examples; the rest can be found in Figure 1.

Example 1 Let $D = 15$. The class number of quadratic field $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$ is known to be 2; such a number for quadratic field $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{15})$ is also equal to 2. Thus,

$$Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}=1}) \cong Cl\ (R_{f=1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \tag{14}$$

and isomorphism (2) is trivially satisfied for each power m, i.e. one obtains an unramified extension. By theorem 1, the Hilbert class field of $\mathfrak{k}$ is generated by the Fourier coefficients of the Hecke eigenform $\phi(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_1(15))$. Using the computer program *SAGE* created by William A. Stein, one finds an irreducible factor $p(x) = x^2 - 4x + 5$ of the characteristic polynomial of the Hecke operator $T_{p=2}$ acting on the space $S_2(\Gamma_1(15))$. Therefore, the Fourier coefficient $c(2)$ coincides with a root of equation $p(x) = 0$; in other words, we arrive at an extension of $\mathfrak{k}$ by the polynomial $p(x)$. The generator x of the field $\mathcal{K}_\phi = \mathbb{Q}(c(2))$ is a root of the bi-quadratic equation $[(x-2)^2 + 1]^2 - 15 = 0$;

it is easy to see that $x = 2 + \sqrt{-1 + \sqrt{15}}$. One concludes, that the field $\mathcal{K}_\phi \cong \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-1 + \sqrt{15}}\right)$ is the Hilbert class field of quadratic field $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{15})$.

Example 2 Let $D = 14$. It is known, that for the quadratic field $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-14})$ we have $|Cl\ (R_{f=1})| = 4$, while for the quadratic field $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{14})$ it holds $|Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}=1})| = 1$. However, for the ramified extensions one obtains the following isomorphism:

$$Cl\ (\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}=2^3}) \cong Cl\ (R_{f=2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \tag{15}$$

where $m = 3$ is the smallest integer satisfying formula (2). By theorem 1, the Hilbert class field of $\mathfrak{k}$ modulo $\mathfrak{f} = 8$ is generated by the Fourier coefficients of the Hecke eigenform $\phi(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_1(2 \times 14))$. Using the *SAGE*, one finds that the characteristic polynomial of the Hecke operator $T_{p=3}$ on $S_2(\Gamma_1(2 \times 14))$ has an irreducible factor $p(x) = x^4 + 3x^2 + 9$. Thus the Fourier coefficient $c(3)$ is a root of the polynomial $p(x)$ and one gets an extension of $\mathfrak{k}$ by the polynomial $p(x)$. In other words, generator x of the field $\mathcal{K}_\phi = \mathbb{Q}(c(3))$ is a root of the polynomial equation $(x^4+3x^2+9)^2-4\times 14 = 0$. The bi-quadratic equation $x^4+3x^2+9-2\sqrt{14} = 0$ has discriminant $-27+8\sqrt{14}$ and one finds a generator of $\mathcal{K}_\phi$ to be $\sqrt[4]{-27 + 8\sqrt{14}}$. Thus the field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt[4]{-27 + 8\sqrt{14}}\right)$ is the Hilbert class field over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{14})$ modulo conductor $\mathfrak{f} = 8$. Clearly, the extension is ramified over the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} = (2)$.

Remark 7 Table 1 below lists quadratic fields for some square-free discriminants $2 \leq D \leq 101$. The conductors f and $\mathfrak{f}$ satisfying equation (2) were calculated using tables for the class number of non-maximal orders in quadratic fields posted at www.numbertheory.org; the site is maintained by Keith Matthews. We focused on small conductors; the interested reader can compute the higher conductors using a pocket calculator. In contrast, computation of generator x of the Hilbert class field require the online program *SAGE* created by William A. Stein. We write an explicit formula for x or its minimal polynomial $p(x)$ over $\mathfrak{k}$.

Acknowledgment. I thank Yu. I. Manin for helpful correspondence.

D	f	$Cl\ (R_f)$	$\mathfrak{f}$	Hilbert class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ modulo conductor $\mathfrak{f}$
2	1	trivial	1	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$
3	1	trivial	1	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$
7	1	trivial	1	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$
11	1	trivial	1	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{11})$
14	2	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	8	$\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt[4]{-27+8\sqrt{14}}\right)$
15	1	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	1	$\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-1+\sqrt{15}}\right)$
19	1	trivial	1	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{19})$
21	2	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	8	$\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt[4]{-3+2\sqrt{21}}\right)$
35	1	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	1	$\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{17+\sqrt{35}}\right)$
43	1	trivial	1	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{43})$
51	1	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	1	$\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{17+\sqrt{51}}\right)$
58	1	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	1	$\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-1+\sqrt{58}}\right)$
67	1	trivial	1	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{67})$
82	1	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	1	$x^4-2x^3+4x^2-8x+16$
91	1	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	1	$\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-3+\sqrt{91}}\right)$

Figure 1: Square-free discriminants $2 \leq D \leq 101$.

References

[1] B. Blackadar, K-Theory for Operator Algebras, MSRI Publications, Springer, 1986.

[2] L. Bernstein, The Jacobi-Perron Algorithm, its Theory and Applications, Lect. Notes in Math. 207, Springer 1971.

[3] Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, Number Theory, Acad. Press, 1966.

[4] H. Cohen and X.-F. Roblot, Computing the Hilbert class field of real quadratic fields, Math. Comp. 69 (2000), 1229-1244.

[5] F. Diamond and J. Shurman, A First Course in Modular Forms, GTM 228, Springer, 2005.

[6] E. G. Effros, Dimensions and C^*-Algebras, in: Conf. Board of the Math. Sciences No.46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1981.

[7] H. Hasse, Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie, Springer, 1950.

[8] E. Hecke, Ueber die Konstruktion der Klassenkörper reeller quadratischer Körper mit Hilfe von automorphen Funktionen, Nachrichten von der Gesselschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Kl. 6 (1910), 619-623.

[9] E. Hecke, Bestimmung der Perioden gewisser Integrale durch die Theorie der Klassenkörper, Math. Z. 28 (1928), 708-727.

[10] Yu. I. Manin, Real multiplication and noncommutative geometry, in "The legacy of Niels Hendrik Abel", 685-727, Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[11] G. J. Murphy, C^*-Algebras and Operator Theory, Academic Press, 1990.

[12] I. Nikolaev, On the AF-algebra of a Hecke eigenform, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 55 (2012), 207-213.

[13] I. Nikolaev, On a symmetry of complex and real multiplication, Hokkaido Math. J. 45 (2016), 43-51.

[14] M. A. Rieffel, Non-commutative tori – a case study of non-commutative differentiable manifolds, Contemp. Math. 105 (1990), 191-211. Available http://math.berkeley.edu/∼rieffel/

[15] G. Shimura, On elliptic curves with complex multiplication as factors of the Jacobians of modular function fields, Nagoya Math. J. 43 (1971), 199-208.

[16] G. Shimura, Class fields over real quadratic fields and Hecke operators, Annals of Math. 95 (1972), 130-190.

[17] J. H. Silverman, Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, GTM 151, Springer 1994.

[18] J. T. Stafford and M. van den Bergh, Noncommutative curves and noncommutative surfaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (2001), 171-216.

[19] H. M. Stark, L-functions at $s = 1$. III. Totally Real Fields and Hilbert's Twelfth Problem, Advances in Math. 22 (1976), 64-84.

The Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, Toronto, ON, Canada, E-mail: igor.v.nikolaev@gmail.com